00:26 welcome back to our next episode of 00:30 what's up prof first off we would like 00:32 to thank our members that helped us to 00:36 produce these videos and keep them both 00:38 free on YouTube thank you very much and 00:42 if you would like to become a member you 00:44 can follow the instructions down in the 00:47 links that we provide below hello Walter 00:51 hi it's nice to see you again and we've 00:56 had some comments in our previous video 01:01 videos that were mentioning Bible 01:04 translations and you've been on the 01:08 carpet a few times because of your views 01:11 on the Bible translations now there's 01:14 also been people saying that you are a 01:18 King James only man and I would like to 01:23 discuss all of these issues with you in 01:26 this episode but would you please pray 01:29 for us and open this because it's 01:31 necessary to have the work of the Holy 01:34 Spirit with us let's pray our loving 01:38 Heavenly Father your word is a lamp unto 01:42 our feet and a light unto our path and

01:45 therefore it is important that we tread 01:50 softly when it comes to this issue and 01:53 therefore I pray that you will be with 01:55 us and enlighten us with your Holy 01:57 Spirit in Jesus name Amen 02:02 well you said I was a king James only 02:05 men well let me put it quite pertinently 02:09 I'm not a king James only man I am a 02:13 received text only man there's a vast 02:16 difference because there are numerous 02:19 translations out there in the world that 02:22 are based on the received text and so 02:26 what was the Bible that Martin Luther oh 02:30 yes oh by the way there's a beautiful 02:33 example on the shelf over there of that 02:38 massive Bible of Martin Luther's and 02:40 it's old and moldy and so full of 02:45 beautiful pictures and things it's it's 02:48 a wonderful word that's based on the 02:50 received text so how can I be a King 02:54 James only person if they survived what 02:59 was written in my mother tongue so let's 03:03 get rid of that misconception right off

03:06 the bat the issue is is very complex 03:10 it's a very deep issue and it's a very 03:15 emotional issue and so in order to do it 03:20 justice we have to approach it carefully 03:27 the question is what do we believe about 03:31 this marvelous book this is the King 03:37 James Version which is my current Bible 03:41 and it wasn't always my current Bible 03:46 okay I started off with the NIV 03:49 and I studied the NIV and I have about 03:52 two n IVs that are totally 03:57 disintegrating and it is through a 04:02 process of of let's say growth that I 04:07 realized that there were so many 04:09 anomalies in these translations 04:13 that I moved on to a Bible that was more 04:17based on the received text and that 04:20 happened to be the New King James so I 04:23 have a number of New King James which 04:26 have gone through a similar process and 04:29 eventually I am now at receive text in 04:35 the King James Version now why is that 04:40and why this progression modern

04:44 translations and this is by the 04:49 admittance of the publishers and the 04:53 committee's that reduce them or what we 04:56 call eclectic texts now an eclectic text 05:00 takes information from various thinkers 05:04from all over the world and collects 05:07 them and this becomes to them what the 05:11 Word of God says now how do we approach 05:16 the Word of God is it an inspired book 05:22 man does not live by bread alone but by 05:25 every word that proceeds out of the 05:28 mouth of the Lord of so we must know you 05:31 know is this the Word of God yes now an 05:34 eclectic text can contain the Word of 05:38 God 05:38 hmm but that doesn't mean that it is the 05:42 Word of God 05:42 I would like to know what did God say 05:46 and not what did someone think God said 05:50 yes there's a vast difference and if we 05:54 if we look at the approach that we have 05:56 in the world out there there are 05:58 thousands of different varieties of what

06:01 people think God said so it's like 06:05 getting things secondhand third-hand and 06:09 via how many sources and eventually you 06:13 don't know what was God's Word and what 06:15 was not God's Word so I want to have a 06:20 Bible that is a direct translation of 06:25 what God said if 06:26 I want to know what some particular 06:30 individual be respected or not in the 06:34 world has to say I can always go and 06:37 look it up in his comments on the Bible 06:40 or in a Bible commentary but first and 06:45 foremost I want to know what did God say 06:49 yes and then I want to be able to 06:53 communicate with the God that I believe 06:56 inspired this book and say to him lord 07:00 help me to understand this book I want 07:04 to know what you have said and if I base 07:08 my study on that then I can trust in the 07:14 fact that the Holy Spirit will help me 07:16 if I get stuck with something in the 07:20 counsel of many there is wisdom and I 07:23 can look at what various people have to

07:25 say about these issues but I want to 07:29 know what he said now there's one thing 07:32 to say that this book is inspired but 07:38 inspiration without preservation is 07:43 totally useless 07:45 because if the original autograph was 07:48 inspired and it wasn't preserved then 07:55 how do I know that this is the Word of 07:56 God 07:57 yes it could be gobbly goop right now I 08:02 want to know is this the inspired Word 08:05 of God now are there not promises in the 08:09 Bible where the Bible clearly tells us 08:13 this is not only an inspired book where 08:16 holy men were moved by the Spirit of God 08:18 but that it is a preserved book and it's 08:23 a covenant and it's a covenant now this 08:26 is very important now a covenant is an 08:30 agreement between parties and this book 08:34 the Bible is a covenant between God and 08:38 man 08:39 and we need to know exactly what this 08:44 covenant entails and who is affected by

08:48 the covenant so let us say that the 08:52 Bible is not only the thoughts of God 08:56 it's not only the words of God but it is 09:00 a legal document it is a covenant and 09:04 legal documents have certain criteria 09:08 for example if you purchase a property 09:13 can you just purchase the property or 09:16 must there be witnesses to witness to 09:19 the fact that you have covenant to 09:23 purchase this property yes there must be 09:25 witnesses all right is one witness 09:28 enough no no you have to have more than 09:31 one witness at least two so even the 09:35 Bible says by two word of two or three 09:39 witnesses every word shall be 09:41 established so you need witnesses so a 09:45 legal document has witnesses what else 09:47 does a legal document have to have it 09:51 has to have very precise information as 09:58 to exactly who is involved in this legal 10:02 document if you for example set up a 10:05 world and you're very vaguely talked 10:09 about how everything is to be

10:11 distributed it'll be very confusing to 10:14 those who actually have to implement the 10:17 world right so how precise must it be 10:22 you must know exactly who it is 10:25 referring to and who is being spoken 10:28 about now in in high court legal 10:33 documents very often they are written in 10:37 a very specific way it's interesting 10:39 that in some of these very important 10:43 legal documents I'm not talking about 10:45 general legal issues on you know public 10:49 level I'm talking about 10:51 really important high court issues for 10:56 example there's no punctuation 10:59 interesting yes because a comma in the 11:03 wrong place can influence a person to 11:08 think in a particular direction yeah 11:10 whereas if there is no punctuation then 11:14 you have to defer or infer from the 11:19 information that is provided who the 11:22 parties are without prejudice a 11:25 beautiful example in the Bible is verily 11:28 verily I say unto you today you shall be

11:32 with me in the kingdom of heaven or 11:34 verily verily I say unto you today you 11:38 will be in the kingdom of heaven the 11:40 comma is is very important as to where 11:43 it is placed because it changes the 11:45 entire theology now the original 11:47 autographs didn't have that kind of 11:49 punctuation so that is precisely what 11:53 you would find in a legal document now 11:56 why do I like the King James I first 12:01 that carefully I'm not a king James only 12:04 yes but why do I like the King James I 12:08 like the King James because it is 12:12 phrased in this legal language besides 12:17 having a legal language it is also a 12:21 poetic language it is probably the 12:26 greatest literary masterpiece ever 12:29 written and it has it has managed to 12:35 retain some of the beauty of the Hebrew 12:41 and the parallelism parallelism and the 12:45 poetry that goes along with it and there 12:48 is no other translation that has 12:51 retained it as the King James has not

12:55 only that it because it is a 12:58 word-for-word translation with 13:03 the retention of the poetic structure 13:06 which is which isn't itself Amer America 13:10 you can have chiastic yes structures and 13:14 chiastic structures are often based on 13:17 repetition yes to to fit in certain 13:21 ideas and to highlight certain portions 13:23 of the scripture and the Hebrew is is 13:27 totally written in caius restructure the 13:30 Bible in fact in the old and the New 13:32 Testament has these attributes and now 13:37 there are people that say that the King 13:39 James or the received text is an 13:43 expanded text yes correct 13:46 now why is it an expanded text because 13:53 the manuscripts that the modern 13:56 translations are based upon our shorter 14:01 alright so are they now the criterion or 14:05 is the other one the criterion why don't 14:07 we ever hear people say the modern ones 14:10 are reduced text no this is an expanded 14:15 text so the other one automatically

14:18 becomes the norm what if it's the other 14:20 way around 14:21 well they what if this is the original 14:23 and the other one is a shrunken text why 14:29 would they be so many repetitions for 14:32 example if you go to the book of 14:33 Revelation and it talks about I am the 14:36 Alpha and the Omega and it has a lot of 14:38 things and it ends with I am the Alpha 14:40 and the Omega many modern people say 14:43 what this is needless repetition and 14:45 take it out once is enough well excuse 14:49 me 14:50 you have just destroyed the chiasm yes 14:52 by removing it so is the expanded one 14:56 the correct one or is the contracted one 15:00 the correct one in my opinion this is 15:02 not an expanded text this is the 15:06 reading text now what else is important 15:11 in a covenant well you were speaking now 15:15 about receive text yes what is the 15:17 difference between receive takes and the 15:20texts that they use to to modern

15:23 translations although there are 15:24 basically two streams of manuscripts in 15:27 the world out there the one is the 15:30 Byzantium text and the other one is the 15:35 Egyptian Alexandrian texts and there are 15:40 about 45 manuscripts basically that they 15:43 have found in the deserts of Egypt and 15:48 it's amazing to me that people will say 15:52 that God has preserved his word in the 15:56 deserts of Egypt and we have now at the 16:01 end of time here dug them up and found 16:03 his word that is the most ridiculous 16:06 statement I have ever heard in my life 16:09 the Byzantine text is the text that was 16:13 used at Antioch for example where the 16:16 first church was and from there it 16:19 spread to the entire world even as far 16:22 as India and China and all of those and 16:27 and then made its way into Europe and 16:31 this was the text that was used by the 16:34 early church correct 16:36 now the Alexandrian version it was used 16:39 in Alexandria and in Rome yes and does

16:43 Rome now become the modern Harbor and 16:46 now that Alexandria no longer exists of 16:48 this word that was found in the desert 16:51 yeah now it does God preserve his word 16:54 in the desert sand for some future 16:56 generation to dig up in a moldy fashion 16:59 and read or does he preserve it by 17:01 implanting it in the minds of men 17:03 throughout every generation this is a 17:06 very important issue now because what 17:08 happened to the people in that period 17:10 that wasn't discovered in the desert so 17:12 without the text then God left them 17:15 without a witness that doesn't make any 17:17 and then who is the Habra of God's Word 17:22 is it the proud hierarchy in Rome or was 17:27 it or was it that the the Word of God 17:31 came via the Byzantines into Europe by 17:36 people that were closely associated with 17:40 the Apostles from the beginning and were 17:45 the ones who nurtured and kept the word 17:48 of God alive in in the minds of men and 17:52 who were prepared to die for that word

17:55 exact they had in their hands and you 17:57 know we have a source which is called 18:00 the spirit of prophecy and the spirit 18:03 prophecy tells us very clearly who were 18:06 the ones that had the Word of God 18:09 and adulterated it was the vault Indians 18:11 they would walk the foot wow so now 18:14 there's this argument oh but they you 18:17 know they are a very late arrival and 18:19 they talk about Peter Waldo and all this 18:22 no no they can be traced back to the 18:24 first and second century and you would 18:27 have to deny those writings in order to 18:29 change that view so perhaps I can read 18:35 to you a statement regarding this issue 18:39 here's one that comes from HF 45 18:43 Perigord 1 the vowed or churches 18:46 resembled the Church of apostolic times 18:51 rejecting the supremacy of the Pope and 18:54 prelate they held the Bible as the only 18:57 infallible Authority their pastors 19:00 unlike the lordly priests of Rome fed 19:03 the flock of God leaving them to green

19:06 pastures and living fountains of His 19:09 Holy Word the people assembled not in 19:12 magnificent churches or grand cathedrals 19:15 but in the alpine valleys or in time of 19:19 danger in some rocky stronghold to 19:21 listen to the words of truth from the 19:23 servants of Christ the pastor's not only 19:26 preached the gospel they visited the 19:28 singing labored to promote harmony and 19:31 broad 19:31 like Paul the tentmaker 19:34 each learned some trade by which if 19:36 necessary to provide for his own support 19:40 in paragraph 1 of page 43 it says their 19:46 religious belief was founded upon the 19:48 written word of God those humble 19:51 peasants shut away from the world had 19:55 not by themselves arrived at truth in 19:58 opposition to the dogmas of the apostate 20:01 Church their religious belief was their 20:04 inheritance from their fathers they 20:07 contended for the faith of the Apostolic 20:09 Church the church in the wilderness and

20:12 not the proud hierarchy enthroned in the 20:15 world's great capital was the Church of 20:17 Christ the guardian of the treasures of 20:19 truth which God committed to his people 20:22 to be given to the world so who were the 20:27 ones that had these manuscripts on which 20:32 they based the Word of God they were the 20:34 vault anciens so you have these two 20:37 streams and there are thousands of 20:40 documents that have been copied 20:45 painstakingly and carefully over 20:49 centuries which constitute the received 20:52 text of course because they were used 20:56 yes because they were incorporated into 20:59 the thinking of of men the original 21:02 autographs are not there and so now the 21:05 argument is well then they must be 21:07 faulty because by repeated copying they 21:11 must have been changed no but don't you 21:13 negate God's promise to preserve his 21:15 word then if you believe in inspiration 21:19 you must believe in preservation 21:21 absolutely now let's take a look at the

21:24 at the flagships of the Alexandrian line 21:28 yeah it's the Vaticanus and the 21:31 Sinaiticus now the one was found in the 21:37 library of the Vatican just in time to 21:40 refute the 21:42 the Bible version that Martin Luther 21:44 produced based on the received text and 21:47 on that you have the dua version yes 21:50 which is the Jesuit version and by the 21:55 way the Jesuits hated this word of God 21:58 they called it this poisonous ass and 22:03 they hated it with the passion yes and 22:06 they produced the UA which has Mary 22:09 crushing the head of the serpent 22:11 rather than Christ and it's a totally 22:14 spurious version and on that document on 22:19 the Vaticanus obviously was also based 22:22 the earlier Vulgate yes by Jerome and 22:25 then of course when this modern move 22:31 came they needed manuscripts other than 22:34 those to base their their translations 22:37 upon and then they found the Sinaiticus 22:40 yes in st. Catharines enormously yes and

22:45 Tischendorf found it there where did he 22:47 find it in just in a waste paper basket 22:51 now it's fascinating that sorry and he 22:55 also didn't find the complete one the 22:58 first time no it had to go back yes you 23:01 had to go back several times to discover 23:03 new management and why would this 23:06 Protestant dedicate it to the Pope yeah 23:08 this makes absolutely no sense to me 23:11 whatsoever and this this one was found 23:15 and it doesn't contain the first 23:18 portions of the Bible and it doesn't 23:20 contain the last portions of the Bible 23:23 the book of Revelation is completely 23:25 gone and I mean Westcott and Hort later 23:28 referred to these to the Vaticanus as an 23:32 ocean of purity when they found this 23:35 manuscript there were so many portions 23:37 that had been scratched out and changed 23:41 and rewritten the whole document was 23:45 plastered with changes now is this 23:49 indicative of God's word that is 23:51 changeless my opinion was that

23:55 it was found in a wastepaper basket and 23:58 it should have stayed there because that 24:01 is where in my opinion it belonged I 24:04 mean the Epistle of Barnabas the most 24:07 pathetic biological document I've ever 24:10 read in my life which has the iana 24:13 changing sex and and animals like the 24:16 weasel giving birth through the mouth I 24:18 mean if that is what is part of an 24:22 inspired document then the whole 24:24 document in my opinion is spurious but 24:28 the fact of the matter is that all 24:31 modern translations are basically based 24:35 on those two flagships with a couple of 24:38 uncles that have been found in those 24:42 deserts and two individuals Westcott and 24:46 Hort now it's fascinating to me that 24:50 these individuals were of course 24:52 Protestant and what a clever idea to use 24:58 Protestants to bring in this 25:02 documentation upon which modern Bibles 25:05 or based so they took basically those 25:09 two documents and produced a Greek text 25:15 for the New Testament upon which all 25:19 modern Bibles are based now these 25:23 gentlemen were as far removed from 25:27 Protestantism as the East is from the 25:29 West 25:30 in their own writings they condemn 25:32 themselves they were Mary ologists 25:35 number one they believed in evolution 25:38 they were evolutionists they despised 25:41 the received text they wrote in the most 25:45 derogatory fashion about their received 25:48 text and these are the documents on 25:52 which all modern Bibles are basically 25:54 based now let's go back to the King 25:58 James Bible which was the Protestant 26:01 version now I never started with the 26:04 King James the first translation was 26:07 Tyndall's translate 26:09 and Tyndale also like Martin Luther used 26:13 the received text and then this Bible 26:20 was taken together with other 26:23 manuscripts that came by the way from 26:25 the vault in ziens and in Geneva where

26:29 the Protestants were exiled they 26:32 produced the Bible transfer one of the 26:34 first ones by the way was the French 26:36 translation to which the Douay was 26:39 actually the counter and it was based on 26:44 vault Enzian manuscripts and the Geneva 26:48 Bible the English version was based on 26:51 Tyndall's translation and Coverdale and 26:54 and they produced the Geneva Bible which 26:56 was the bible of choice for protestants 27:00 for many many years in fact people were 27:04 very unincluded to give it up they loved 27:09 the Geneva Bible the Geneva Bible had a 27:12 problem for Catholicism though because 27:15 it had such very straightforward 27:19 footnotes and those footnotes revealed 27:24 exactly who was who in the zoo they made 27:27 no bones about calling the papacy 27:29 Antichrist for example and showing who 27:33 the little horn was etc or the beasts of 27:36 Revelation and the English also was Old 27:41 English like Chaucer English which was 27:44 and the English language had changed

27:46 considerably by the time you get to the 27:49 King James in 1611 and what happened was 27:54 that it was decided to make a 27:57 translation bringing it up to the more 28:01 modern English that was spoken 28:04 it's like Shakespearean English Alec at 28:06 that time and King James then gave the 28:10 permission not that he was such a 28:12 religious person but it's called the 28:14 King James because he gave permission 28:16 but there were to be no footnotes so 28:20 that's that's interesting in the 28:22 place but what what is interesting about 28:25 the King James is that the committee 28:27 that actually put it together they were 28:30 all Protestants yes and they were 28:34 believing Protestants and they believed 28:37 that this was the Word of God yes and 28:39 they had numerous translators and they 28:43 lived in various portions of the country 28:46 yes and when they when they translated a 28:48 verse then there had to be harmony in 28:52 the translations so that it was only

28:54 incorporated into the Bible if everybody 28:58 was on the same page 29:00 yes they won't cut often isolated they 29:02 could consult scholars it was even there 29:05 the public could absolutely in his input 29:11 yes it's amazing how it was put together 29:14 and they will tell us that this is a 29:17 cake language and this is a very 29:21 fascinating point and I would like us to 29:25 continue on that point in our next 29:29 episode 29:29 as our time is up for now we haven't 29:32 even started yes so that's why we have 29:35 to continue with this discussion thank 29:38 you for watching we will be back with 29:40 the next episode of what's up bro 29:44 thank you for watching this video to 29:47 subscribe click yes when the bell 29:50 appears click and you will receive 29:52 notifications to watch the next one 29:54 click yeah thank you again and see you 29:58 next time